



## United States Fatent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

 TOWNS OF THE PARTY
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIF	RST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTO	DRNEY DOCKET NO.
19/823,657	03/30/01	WELCH		, W	23:	07E-065021
			$\neg$	EXAMINER		
020350 HM12/0810 TOWNSEND AND CREW			LEARY, L			
WO EMBARCAD	ERO CENTER			ART UN		PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCISC		Mt. 'ss' slass 'sp'			2	
•				DATE MAIL		3/10/0 <b>1</b>
					0	8/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
ummary	09/823,657 Examiner		WELCH ET AL.		
			Art Unit		
	Lauisa N. Laami		1600		

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Louise N. Leary	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del></del>					
, <b>_</b>	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under			he merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 3/30/01 is/are: a)☑ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Exami	ner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		l Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		al application).			
a)   The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been re	ceived.	,,			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	u anu/or 121.				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview Summar	y (PTO-413) Paper N	n(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (P				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/823,657

Art Unit: 1623

1. Claims 1-22 are pending in this application.

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-22 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,270,954 B1. This is a double patenting rejection.

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-12, 14-15 and 19-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S.

Application/Control Number: 09/823,657

Art Unit: 1623

Patent No. 5,900,360. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Page 3

patentably distinct from each other because both inventions claim a screening method

for detecting a phenotypically defective cell comprising contacting a cell sample with a

stabilizing agent.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Louise N. Leary whose telephone number is (703)

308-3533. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 am

to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Geist, can be reached on (703) 308-1701. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1235.

August 9, 2001